arXiv:1611.04345v1 [math.AG] 14 Nov 2016

VSPs of cubic fourfolds and the Gorenstein locus of the Hilbert scheme of 14 points on $\mathbb{A}^6$

Joachim Jelisiejew*

November 15, 2016

Abstract

This paper is concerned with the geometry of the Gorenstein locus of the Hilbert scheme of 14 points on $\mathbb{A}^6$. This scheme has two components: the smoothable one and an exceptional one. We prove that the latter is smooth and identify the intersection of components as a vector bundle over the Iliev-Ranestad divisor in the space of cubic fourfolds. The ninth secant variety of the triple Veronese reembedding lies inside the Iliev-Ranestad divisor. We point out that this secant variety is set-theoretically a codimension two complete intersection and discuss the degrees of its equations.

1 Introduction

Hilbert schemes of points on higher dimensional affine or projective spaces are very classical moduli spaces, but their geometry is still poorly understood. For example, they are believed to possess arbitrary singularities and some progress in this direction is made, especially by Emsalem and Iarrobino [IE78, IK99] and Erman [Erm12]. Nevertheless no examples of non-reduced points are actually known.

The investigation of Hilbert schemes is strongly connected with higher secant varieties and thus with classical projective geometry, see [BB14, LO13, IK99, Gał]. In this connection a special role is played by the *Gorenstein locus* inside the Hilbert scheme. This in an open subset parameterising *Gorenstein* subschemes. Such schemes are enriched by the presence of canonical bundles, which in this baby case manifest themselves as dual socle generators in the theory of Macaulay's inverse systems or apolarity. In particular, to a point of the Gorenstein locus corresponding to a *graded* algebra one may associate a unique projective hypersurface.

In this paper we investigate the geometry of the Gorenstein locus in the smallest case when it is reducible, namely for 14 points of $\mathbb{A}^6$. Equivalently, by Macaulay's inverse systems, we investigate the geometry of the moduli space of cubic fourfolds, which is of considerable interest because of questions of rationality and connections with hyperkähler fourfolds. As it turns out, the connection is quite deep: the intersection of the two components is essentially cut out by the Iliev-Ranestad divisor which plays a prominent role in the theory of Varieties of Sums of Powers (VSP) of cubic fourfolds, which are hyperkähler manifolds, see [IR01, IR07, RV13].

The case of 14 points of $\mathbb{A}^6$ is surprising also because this is the only known case where the Gorenstein locus contains a component of dimension smaller than the smoothable component. It is an interesting problem to decide, whether other such small components exist. We discuss this in Remark 29.

*The author is a doctoral fellow at the Warsaw Center of Mathematics and Computer Science financed by the Polish program KNOW. This article is supported by Polish National Science Center, project 2014/13/N/ST1/02640.
Contact information: Joachim Jelisiejew, Faculty of Mathematics, Informatics and Mechanics, University of Warsaw. **Email:** jjelisiejew@mimuw.edu.pl

1.1 Results

We work over the base field $\mathbb{C}$. Let V be a 6-dimensional vector space. We view V as an affine space with coordinate ring $\operatorname{Sym} V^*$. Consider the Gorenstein locus $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}ilb^G_{14} V$ of the Hilbert scheme of 14 points on V. Topologically, the scheme $\mathcal{H}$ has two irreducible components: the smoothable component $\mathcal{H}_{gen}$ of dimension 84 and another component $\mathcal{H}_{1661}$ of dimension 76, see [CJN15]. A general point of $\mathcal{H}_{gen}$ corresponds to a set of 14 points on V. Therefore finite schemes Z corresponding to points in $\mathcal{H}_{gen}$ are called *smoothable*.

In this paper we are interested in $\mathcal{H}_{1661}$ and provide a quite explicit description of this component. We consider also its scheme structure, which we formally define as the scheme-theoretic closure $\mathcal{H}_{1661} = \overline{\mathcal{H} \setminus \mathcal{H}_{gen}}$. Under this definition it is not clear whether $\mathcal{H}_{1661}$ is reduced, although we show that indeed it is. We do not say (or know) anything interesting about the reducedness of the smoothable component $\mathcal{H}_{gen}$.

By [CJN15] the points of $\mathcal{H}_{1661}$ correspond bijectively to zero-dimensional irreducible subschemes $Z \subset V$ such that the local algebra $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ has Hilbert function $(1, 6, 6, 1)$. Let $\mathcal{H}^{gr}_{1661} \subset \mathcal{H}_{1661}$ be the set corresponding to Z supported at the origin of V and invariant under the dilation action of $\mathbb{C}^*$, i.e., such that $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ is canonically graded. Then $\mathcal{H}^{gr}_{1661} \subset \mathcal{H}_{1661}$ is a closed subset and we endow it with a reduced scheme structure.

Let $D_{IR} \subset \mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^3 V\right)$ denote the Iliev-Ranestad divisor in the space of cubic fourfolds. This divisor consists of cubics corresponding to zero-dimensional schemes which are sections of the cone over $Gr(2, V)$ in the Plücker embedding; see Section 2.3 for precise definition.

Now we formulate a description of the component $\mathcal{H}_{1661}$, which is our main result.

Theorem 1. *With notation as above, the following holds.*

1. *The component $\mathcal{H}_{1661}$ is connected and smooth (hence reduced).*
2. *There is a morphism*
$$\pi : \mathcal{H}_{1661} \to \mathcal{H}^{gr}_{1661}$$
which makes $\mathcal{H}_{1661}$ the total space of a rank 21 vector bundle over $\mathcal{H}^{gr}_{1661}$.
3. *The set $\mathcal{H}^{gr}_{1661}$ is canonically isomorphic to an open subset of $\mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^3 V\right)$ consisting precisely of cubics which are not cones. We denote this subset by $\mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^3 V\right)_{1661}$.*
4. *The set theoretic intersection $\mathcal{H}_{gen} \cap \mathcal{H}_{1661}$ is a prime divisor inside $\mathcal{H}_{1661}$ and it is equal to $\pi^{-1}(D_{IR})$, where $D_{IR} \subset \mathcal{H}^{gr}_{1661} \subset \mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^3 V\right)$ is the restriction of the Iliev-Ranestad divisor. We get the following diagram of vector bundles:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H}_{gen} \cap \mathcal{H}_{1661} & \subset & \mathcal{H}_{1661} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ D_{IR} & \subset & \mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^3 V\right)_{1661} \end{array}$$

The hard parts in this theorem are proving reducedness of $\mathcal{H}_{1661}$ and proving Point 4.

The map π is defined at the level of points as follows. We take $[Z] \in \mathcal{H}_{1661}$. Translating, we fix its support at $0 \in V$. Then we replace $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ by its associated graded algebra which in this very special case is also Gorenstein. We take $\pi([Z])$ to be the point corresponding to $\operatorname{Spec} \operatorname{gr} H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ supported at the origin of V.

The identification of $\mathcal{H}^{gr}_{1661}$ with $\mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^3 V\right)_{1661}$ in Point 3 is done canonically using Macaulay's inverse systems. Note that the complement of $\mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^3 V\right)_{1661}$ has codimension greater than one, hence divisors on $\mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^3 V\right)$ and $\mathcal{H}^{gr}_{1661}$ are identified via restriction and closure.

We describe a set-theoretic equation of $D_{IR} \subset \mathbb{P}\left(\mathrm{Sym}^3 V\right)$ explicitly as an 120×120 determinant, see the discussion before Lemma 23. We also note that $D_{IR} \subset \mathbb{P}\left(\mathrm{Sym}^3 V\right)$ is the unique $\mathrm{SL}(V)$-invariant divisor of degree 10.

We recall the following related result, essentially proved by Ranestad and Voisin, see [RV13, Lemma 4.13]. It employs another divisor $D_{V-ap} \subset \mathbb{P}\left(\mathrm{Sym}^3 V\right)$; check Section 2.3 for its definition.

Proposition 2. *Set-theoretically, the ninth secant variety $\sigma_9\nu_3(\mathbb{P}V) \subset \mathbb{P}\left(\mathrm{Sym}^3 V\right)$ is equal to the complete intersection $D_{IR} \cap D_{V-ap}$. In particular, the apolar scheme of any form $[F] \in \mathbb{P}\left(\mathrm{Sym}^3 V\right)_{1661}$ of border rank at most nine is smoothable.*

We note that the last claim of Proposition 2 can be proven much easier, by showing that *any* $\mathrm{SL}(V)$-invariant form of degree 10 must vanish on $\sigma_9\nu_3(\mathbb{P}V)$. Also, one can wonder whether the above equations of $\sigma_9\nu_3(\mathbb{P}V)$ give the whole ideal. A forthcoming work in this direction is [CHC$^+$], see Remark 28.

It also follows from Theorem 1 that both components of $\mathcal{H}ilb^G_{14}V$ are rational. It is an open question, whether components of Hilbert schemes of points are all rational. Roggero and Lella prove that components satisfying strong smoothness assumptions are rational, see [LR11].

The contents of the paper are as follows: the main part is the proof of Theorem 1, occupying Section 3. The proof is abstracted into three Claims. The main underlying tool is given by relative Macaulay's inverse systems, presented in Section 2.

Acknowledgements

This paper builds upon the beautiful math presented in the works of Iliev, Ranestad and Voisin [IR01, RV13], Cartwright, Erman, Velasco and Viray [CEVV09] and Elias and Rossi [ER12]. Additionally, we thank Giorgio Ottaviani for discussing questions related to $\sigma_9\nu_3(\mathbb{P}V)$ and Gianfranco Casnati and Roberto Notari for discussing irreducibility questions. We are grateful to the organizers of "Theory and applications of syzygies, on the occasion of Frank-Olaf Schreyer's 60th birthday" (supported by the DFG and NSF), where the author had, among other inspiring activities, the possibility to enjoy Kristian Ranestad's lecture and discuss with Giorgio Ottaviani. Finally, we thank Jarosław Buczyński for introducing us to the subject and for his helpful comments on a preliminary version.

The computations were made using Magma [BCP97], Macaulay2 [GS] and LiE [LiE].

2 Preliminaries

Let Z be an irreducible zero-dimensional scheme over $\mathbb{C}$. Then $R = H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ is a local $\mathbb{C}$-algebra with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_R$. The associated graded algebra of R is $\mathrm{gr}\, R = \bigoplus_{i \geqslant 0} \mathfrak{m}_R^i/\mathfrak{m}_R^{i+1}$. The *Hilbert function* of Z (or R) is defined as $H(i) := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{m}_R^i/\mathfrak{m}_R^{i+1}$. The algebra R is Gorenstein if and only if the annihilator of $\mathfrak{m}_R$ is a one-dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-vector space. If R is Gorenstein then the *socle degree* of R is the largest d such that $H(d) > 0$. Let R be Gorenstein of socle degree d. We will use the following properties of the Hilbert function (see [BB14, Iar94]):

1. If R is graded, then $H(d-i) = H(i)$ for all i.
2. If $H(d-i) = H(i)$ for all i, then $\mathrm{gr}\, R$ is also Gorenstein.
3. If $H = (1, n, n, 1)$ then $\mathrm{gr}\, R$ is isomorphic to R, see [ER12, ER15].

2.1 Macaulay's inverse systems

See [ER15, IK99, Jel16] for general facts about Macaulay's inverse systems. In this section we work over an arbitrary algebraically closed field $\Bbbk$ and with a finite dimensional $\Bbbk$-vector space V with $n = \dim V$. For the main part of the article, in Section 2.3 and farther, we only use the case $\Bbbk = \mathbb{C}$ and 6-dimensional V.

Let $S := \operatorname{Sym} V^*$ be a polynomial ring. Then we may view $P = \operatorname{Hom}_\Bbbk(S, \Bbbk)$ as an S-module via $(s_1 f)(s_2) = f(s_1 s_2)$. It is common to introduce dual bases $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ on V^* and $x_1, \dots, x_n$ on V. Then S becomes $\Bbbk[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]$ and $P = \Bbbk_{dp}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ the divided powers power series ring, with the action

$$(\alpha_1^{a_1} \dots \alpha_n^{a_n}) \lrcorner (x_1^{b_1} \dots x_n^{b_n}) = \begin{cases} x_1^{b_1 - a_n} \dots x_n^{b_n - a_n} & \text{if all } b_i \geqslant a_i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

In fact α_i acts on P as a derivation. By abuse of notation we will denote the subring $\Bbbk_{dp}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \subset P$ by $\operatorname{Sym} V$. The abuse here is that $\operatorname{Sym} V$ is a divided power ring and not a polynomial ring. Eventually we work over fields of characteristic zero, where these notions agree, see [IK99, Example A.5, p. 266]. In this paper the ring structure of P is used only a few times and the S-module structure is far more important for our purposes.

If $I \subset S$ is homogeneous then for every d by $I_d^\perp \subset \operatorname{Sym}^d V$ we denote the perpendicular space.

Let $F \in P$. By $\operatorname{Ann}(F)$ we denote its annihilator in S and by $\operatorname{Apolar}(F) = S/\operatorname{Ann}(F)$ its *apolar algebra*. Note that S-modules $\operatorname{Apolar}(F)$ and $S \lrcorner F$ are isomorphic. As a special case, we may take an apolar algebra of $F \in \operatorname{Sym} V = \Bbbk_{dp}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \subset P$. The crucial result by Macaulay is the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *The algebra* $\operatorname{Apolar}(F)$ *is a local artinian Gorenstein algebra supported at* $0 \in \operatorname{Spec} S$. *Conversely, every such algebra is equal to* $\operatorname{Apolar}(F)$ *for a (non-unique)* $F \in \operatorname{Sym} V$.

Proof-sketch. We include one implication of this well-known proof to motivate the relative version given in the next section. Namely for an artinian Gorenstein algebra $R = S/I$ supported at the origin we find an $F \in \operatorname{Sym} V$ such that $R = \operatorname{Apolar}(F)$.

Since R is artinian, its dualising module ω_R is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Hom}_\Bbbk(R, \Bbbk)$. Since R is Gorenstein, this dualising module is principal ([Eis95, Chapter 21]). Take a generator F of this module. The surjection $S \to R$ gives an inclusion $\omega_R = \operatorname{Hom}_\Bbbk(R, \Bbbk) \subset \operatorname{Hom}_\Bbbk(S, \Bbbk) = P$. In this way ω_R becomes an S-module, whose annihilator is I. Since ω_R is principal generated by F, we get that $\operatorname{Ann}(F) = I$. Therefore $R = \operatorname{Apolar}(F)$. We have $\mathfrak{m}^{\operatorname{len} R} \subset I$, where $\mathfrak{m}$ is the ideal of $0 \in \operatorname{Spec} S$. Hence $\mathfrak{m}^{\operatorname{len} R} \subset \operatorname{Ann}(F)$ which forces $F \in \operatorname{Sym} V$. □

The freedom of choice of F is well understood, see for example [Jel16].

If the algebra in question is naturally graded, we may take F to be homogeneous. This is the case of our main interest. By S_r we denote forms of degree r. Let F be homogeneous of degree d and $I = \operatorname{Ann}(F)$. Then $S_r \lrcorner F$ is annihilated by I_{d-r} and in fact $S_r \lrcorner F = I_{d-r}^\perp$. The symmetry of the Hilbert function of $\operatorname{Apolar}(F)$ shows that $\dim I_{d-r}^\perp = \dim I_r^\perp$ for all r.

Numerous examples of polynomials and corresponding artinian Gorenstein algebras can be found in the literature, for instance in [BBKT15, IK99, CN11].

Remark 4. If $F \in \operatorname{Sym} V$ is arbitrary, but such that $\operatorname{gr}\operatorname{Apolar}(F)$ is also Gorenstein, then $\operatorname{gr}\operatorname{Apolar}(F)$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Apolar}(F_d)$, where F_d is the top degree form. In particular, if F is of degree three and $H_{\operatorname{Apolar}(F)} = (1, n, n, 1)$ then $\operatorname{gr}\operatorname{Apolar}(F) = \operatorname{Apolar}(F_3)$. Also it follows that $H_{\operatorname{Apolar}(F)} = (1, n, n, 1)$ if and only if $H_{\operatorname{Apolar}(F_3)} = (1, n, n, 1)$, see [Iar94, Chapter 1].

Remark 5. Let $F \in \operatorname{Sym} V$ be a polynomial of degree three such that $H_{\operatorname{Apolar}(F)} = (1, n, n, 1)$ where $n = \dim V$; in other words the Hilbert function is maximal. It will be important in the following to note

that $\operatorname{Ann}(F)$ and $\operatorname{Apolar}(F)$ only partially depend on the lower homogeneous components of F. To see this, it is enough to write explicitly the S-module $S \lrcorner F$.

$$S \lrcorner F = \operatorname{span}(F,\ \{\alpha_i \lrcorner F \mid i\},\ \{\alpha_i\alpha_j \lrcorner F \mid i,j\},\ \{\alpha_i\alpha_j\alpha_k \lrcorner F \mid i,j,k\}) =$$
$$= \operatorname{span}(F,\ \{\alpha_i \lrcorner F \mid i\}) \oplus \operatorname{Sym}^{\leqslant 1} V = \operatorname{span}(F_3 + F_2) \oplus \operatorname{span}(\alpha_i \lrcorner F_3 \mid i) \oplus \operatorname{Sym}^{\leqslant 1} V.$$

Therefore $S \lrcorner F$ is uniquely defined by giving F_3 and $F_2 \mod \operatorname{span}(\alpha_i \lrcorner F_3 \mid i)$, up to multiplication by a constant.

Finally we should give at least one explicit example.

Example 6. Let $F = x_1^3 + \ldots + x_n^3 \in \Bbbk_{dp}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. Then $\operatorname{Ann}(F) = (\alpha_i\alpha_j \mid i \neq j) + (\alpha_i^3 - \alpha_j^3 \mid i,j)$. Hence $H_{\operatorname{Apolar}(F)} = (1, n, n, 1)$.

2.2 Relative Macaulay's inverse systems

The main result of this section is a local description of this universal family over the Gorenstein locus or, equivalently, any finite flat family with Gorenstein fibers (see Proposition 12 and Proposition 14). This local description involves certain choices. This local description, in a special case, first appeared in [Ems78, Proposition 18], unfortunately without a proof.

With respect to the setting of the previous section there are two generalisations: first, we consider families instead of single subschemes and second, we consider all finite subschemes, in particular reducible ones (similar description of finite subschemes appeared independently in [Mou]). We will see that both of there generalisations are not too hard, but in technical terms they force us to work with (divided powers) power series rings and base change, which accounts for some intransparency in the proofs. To help the reader separate the results of Proposition 12 from the technicalities we provide the following intuitive comparison between families and apolar algebras. Here by dilation we mean the diagonal action of the torus $\Bbbk^*$. All Z considered are finite. All families are over an affine, Noetherian base A.

Finite subschemes		Polynomials/power series
$Z \subset \Bbbk^n$	is equal to	$\operatorname{Apolar}(F)$ for some $F \in \Bbbk_{dp}[[x_1, \ldots, x_n]]$
$Z \subset \Bbbk^n$ supported at the origin	is equal to	$\operatorname{Apolar}(F)$ for some $F \in \Bbbk_{dp}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$
$Z \subset \Bbbk^n$ supported at the origin, invariant under dilation	is equal to	$\operatorname{Apolar}(F)$ for some homogeneous $F \in \Bbbk_{dp}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$
Families		**Polynomials/power series**
Family of $Z \subset \Bbbk^n$	is locally	$\operatorname{Apolar}(F)$ for some $F \in A[[x_1, \ldots, x_n]]$
Family of $Z \subset \Bbbk^n$, each Z supported at the origin	is locally	$\operatorname{Apolar}(F)$ for some $F \in A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$
Family of $Z \subset \Bbbk^n$, each Z supported at the origin and invariant under dilation	is locally	$\operatorname{Apolar}(F)$ for some homogeneous $F \in A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$

Conversely, given $F \in A[[x_1, \ldots, x_n]]$ as above one can wonder, whether $\operatorname{Apolar}(F)$ is a finite and flat family. This is a subtle question, but over a reduced ring A it is necessary and enough that for each $t \in \operatorname{Spec} A$ the algebra $\operatorname{Apolar}(F_t)$ is finite of length independent of t. See Proposition 14.

2.2.1 Apolar families

Let $\Bbbk$ be an arbitrary algebraically closed field. Let A be a *Noetherian* $\Bbbk$-algebra and V be a finite dimensional $\Bbbk$-vector space. We define contraction action identically as in the previous section, but using coefficients from A instead of $\Bbbk$. Denote $\operatorname{Sym}_A(-) := A \otimes_\Bbbk \operatorname{Sym}(-)$. Denote by S_A the space $\operatorname{Sym}_A V^*$

and by P_A the space $\mathrm{Hom}_A(S_A, A)$. We have a natural action of S_A on P_A by precomposition: for $s \in S_A$ and $f : S_A \to A$ we define $(sf)(t) = f(st)$. Abusing notation, we write $\operatorname{Sym} V$ for the divided powers ring. Then we may identify $\operatorname{Sym} V^*$ with $(\operatorname{Sym} V)^*$ and view elements of $\operatorname{Sym}_A V$ as elements of P_A. In coordinates, the above description is much easier and down to earth:

$$S_A = A[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] \qquad \text{and} \qquad \operatorname{Sym}_A V = A[x_1, \dots, x_n] \subset P_A = A[[x_1, \dots, x_n]].$$

The precomposition action is the A-linear action described by Formula (1) in the case $A = \Bbbk$. Note that this action is A-linear, hence behaves well with respect to a base change.

Definition 7. For $F \in P_A$ we define an ideal $\operatorname{Ann}(F) \subset S_A$ by $\operatorname{Ann}(F) := \{\sigma \in S_A \mid \sigma \lrcorner F = 0\}$ and call it the *apolar ideal* of F. The algebra $\operatorname{Apolar}(F) := S_A / \operatorname{Ann}(F)$ is called the *apolar algebra* of F. It gives an affine *apolar family*

$$a_F : \operatorname{Spec} \operatorname{Apolar}(F) \to \operatorname{Spec} A,$$

which it is naturally embedded in $V \times \operatorname{Spec} A \to \operatorname{Spec} A$.

Note that

1. if $F \in \operatorname{Sym}_A V$, then a_F is finite,
2. S_A-modules $\operatorname{Apolar}(F)$ and $S_A \lrcorner F$ are isomorphic.

Different choices of F lead to the same apolar family. But it is crucial for our purposes to keep track of F. So we always assume it to be fixed.

Convention 8. When speaking of $\operatorname{Apolar}(F)$ we always implicitly assume that F is fixed.

Let us see some examples.

Example 9. Assume $\operatorname{char} \Bbbk \neq 2$. Take $A = \Bbbk[t]$ and $F = tx_1^2 + x_1x_2 \in A[x_1, x_2]$. Then $S_A \lrcorner F = \operatorname{span}(F, x_1, x_2, 1)$ so that $\operatorname{Ann}(F) = (\alpha_1^2 - t\alpha_1\alpha_2,\, \alpha_2^2)$. The fiber of $\operatorname{Spec} \operatorname{Apolar}(F)$ over $t = \lambda$ is $\Bbbk[\alpha_1, \alpha_2]/(\alpha_1^2 - \lambda\alpha_1\alpha_2,\, \alpha_2^2) = \operatorname{Apolar}\left(\lambda x_1^2 + x_1x_2\right) = \operatorname{Apolar}(F_\lambda)$.

Example 10. Take $A = \Bbbk[t]$ and $F = tx \in A[x]$. Then $\operatorname{Ann}(F) = (\alpha^2)$, so that the fibers of the associated apolar family are all equal to $\Bbbk[\alpha]/\alpha^2$. For $t = \lambda$ non-zero we have $\Bbbk[\alpha]/\alpha^2 = \operatorname{Apolar}(F_\lambda)$, but for $t = 0$ we have $F_0 = 0$, so the fiber is *not* the apolar algebra of F_0.

Intuitively, we think of $\operatorname{Apolar}(F)$ as a family of apolar algebras $\operatorname{Apolar}(F_t)$, where $t \in \operatorname{Spec} A$. But Example 10 shows that the fiber of $\operatorname{Apolar}(F)$ over a point t may differ from $\operatorname{Apolar}(F_t)$. To avoid such pathology we introduce an appropriate notion of continuity of apolar family $\operatorname{Spec} \operatorname{Apolar}(F)$. This notion, among other things, will guarantee that the fibers are apolar algebras of corresponding restrictions of F.

Definition 11. An apolar family $\operatorname{Spec} \operatorname{Apolar}(F)$ is *flatly embedded* if the A-module $P_A/(S_A \lrcorner F)$ is flat.

Note that if an apolar family is flatly embedded, then $S_A \lrcorner F$ is a flat A-module, thus also the isomorphic module $\operatorname{Apolar}(F)$ is flat. Note also that in pathological Example 10 the A-module $S_A \lrcorner F = \operatorname{Apolar}(F)$ *is* flat. Thus the flatness of $S_A \lrcorner F$ is strictly weaker than being flatly embedded.

If $\operatorname{Spec} \operatorname{Apolar}(F)$ is flatly embedded, then its fiber over $t \in \operatorname{Spec} A$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Spec} \operatorname{Apolar}(F_t)$, see Proposition 14 below.

Definition 11 is very similar to the definition of a subbundle, where we require that the cokernel is locally free. The technical difference is that the A-module $P_A/(S_A \lrcorner F)$ is usually very far from being finitely generated.

2.2.2 Local description

We state the two main results of this section below. On one hand, we show that each finite flat family with Gorenstein fibers is locally a flatly embedded apolar family. On the other hand, we give descriptions of such families and their constructions over a reduced base.

Proposition 12 (Local description of families)**.** *Let T be a locally Noetherian scheme. Let $X \subset V \times T \to T$ be an embedded finite flat family of Gorenstein algebras. This family is isomorphic, after possibly shrinking T to a neighborhood of a given closed point, to a flatly embedded finite family $a_F : \operatorname{Spec} \operatorname{Apolar}(F) \to \operatorname{Spec} A$ for $F \in P_A$. Moreover*

1. *if all fibres are irreducible supported at $0 \in V$ then we may take $F \in \operatorname{Sym}_A V$.*
2. *if all fibres are invariant under the dilation action of $\Bbbk^*$ and T is* reduced*, then we may take a* homogeneous *$F \in \operatorname{Sym}_A V$.*

Example 13. Let $V = \mathbb{A}^1 = \operatorname{Spec} \Bbbk[x]$. Consider a branched double cover

$$X = \operatorname{Spec} \frac{\Bbbk[\alpha, t]}{(\alpha^2 - t)} \to T = \operatorname{Spec} \Bbbk[t].$$

Then $X_0 = \operatorname{Spec} \Bbbk[\alpha]/\alpha^2$ and ω_0 is generated by $F_0 = x$. This F_0 lifts to an element $F = x \cdot \sum_{n \geqslant 0} (tx^2)^n$ of $\Bbbk[t][[x]]$ and one checks that indeed $X = \operatorname{Apolar}\left(x \cdot \sum_{n \geqslant 0} (tx^2)^n\right)$. In this case no shrinking of T is necessary.

Before we state the next result we explain one more piece of notation. Let $\varphi : A \to B$ be any homomorphism and $F \in P_A$. By $\varphi(F) \in P_B$ we denote the series obtained by applying φ to coefficients of F.

Proposition 14. *Let $F \in P_A$. Let $a_F : \operatorname{Spec} \operatorname{Apolar}(F) \to \operatorname{Spec} A$ be an apolar family and suppose it is finite. Then the following holds:*

1. *If the apolar family is flatly embedded, then its base change via any homomorphism $\varphi : A \to B$ is equal to $\operatorname{Spec} \operatorname{Apolar}(\varphi(F)) \to \operatorname{Spec} B$. In particular, the fibre of a_F over $t \in \operatorname{Spec} A$ is naturally $\operatorname{Spec} \operatorname{Apolar}(F_t)$. Thus the length of $\operatorname{Apolar}(F_t)$ is independent of the choice of t.*
2. *If A is reduced and the length of $\operatorname{Apolar}(F_t)$ is independent of the choice of $t \in \operatorname{Spec} A$, then a_F is flatly embedded.*

Example 15. Let $\mathbf{w} \in V$ and denote $\exp_{dp}(\mathbf{w}) := \sum_{i \geqslant 0} \mathbf{w}^i \in P$.

Pick a polynomial $f \in \operatorname{Sym} V$. It gives a subscheme $\operatorname{Spec} \operatorname{Apolar}(f) \subset V$ supported at zero. We will now construct a family which moves the support of this subscheme along the line $\operatorname{span}(\mathbf{w}) \subset V$. The line is chosen for clarity only, the same procedure works for arbitrary subvariety of V.

For every linear form $\alpha \in V^*$ we have

$$\alpha \lrcorner (f \exp_{dp}(\mathbf{w})) = (\alpha \lrcorner f) \exp_{dp}(\mathbf{w}) + c \cdot f \exp_{dp}(\mathbf{w}) = ((\alpha + c) \lrcorner f) \cdot \exp_{dp}(\mathbf{w}),$$

where $c = \alpha \lrcorner \mathbf{w} \in \Bbbk$. Hence for every polynomial $\sigma(\mathbf{x}) \in \operatorname{Sym} V^*$ we have $\sigma(\mathbf{x}) \lrcorner (f \exp_{dp}(\mathbf{w})) = 0$ if and only if $\sigma(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{w}) \lrcorner f = 0$. It follows that $\operatorname{Spec} \operatorname{Apolar}\left(f \exp_{dp}(\mathbf{w})\right)$ is the translate of $\operatorname{Spec} \operatorname{Apolar}(f)$ by the vector $\mathbf{w}$, in particular it is supported on $\mathbf{w}$ and abstractly isomorphic to $\operatorname{Apolar}(f)$. Hence $\operatorname{Apolar}(f)$ and $\operatorname{Apolar}\left(f \exp_{dp}(\mathbf{w})\right)$ have the same length. By Proposition 14, the family $\operatorname{Spec} \operatorname{Apolar}\left(f \exp_{dp}(t\mathbf{w})\right) \to \operatorname{Spec} \Bbbk[t]$ is flatly embedded and geometrically corresponds to deformation by moving the support of $\operatorname{Spec} \operatorname{Apolar}(f)$ along the line spanned by $\mathbf{w}$. Its restriction to $\Bbbk[\varepsilon] = \Bbbk[t]/t^2$ gives $\operatorname{Spec} \operatorname{Apolar}(f + \varepsilon \mathbf{w} f)$ corresponding to the tangent vector pointing towards this deformation.

2.2.3 Proofs of Proposition 12 and Proposition 14

Proof of Proposition 12. Consider the $\mathcal{O}_X$-sheaf $\omega = \mathcal{H}om_T(\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_T)$, where the multiplication is by precomposition. Since the map $X \to T$ is finite and flat, the base change to a fiber over $t \in T$ is equal to $\mathrm{Hom}_{\kappa(t)}(\mathcal{O}_{X_t}, \kappa(t))$, where $\kappa(t)$ is the residue field in t. This is the canonical module of the fibre, see [Eis95, Chapter 21]. Note also that $\mathcal{O}_X$ and ω taken as $\mathcal{O}_T$-sheaves are locally free of the same rank.

Choose a $\Bbbk$-point $0 \in T$. The $\mathcal{O}_{X_0}$-module $\omega_{|X_0} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\kappa(0)}(\mathcal{O}_{X_0}, \kappa(0))$ is principal because the fibre X_0 is Gorenstein. Choose a generator F of this module and lift it to ω. By Nakayama's Lemma, after possibly shrinking T, we may assume that F generates ω as an $\mathcal{O}_X$-module. We get a surjection $\mathcal{O}_X \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \cdot F = \omega$. This is in particular a surjection of locally free $\mathcal{O}_T$-sheaves of the same rank. Hence it is an isomorphism, so that ω is a trivial $\mathcal{O}_X$-invertible sheaf, trivialised by F.

Shrinking T even further, we may assume that $T = \operatorname{Spec} A$ is affine. Now, $X \subset V \times T$ is embedded as a T-subscheme. Therefore $\omega \subset \mathcal{H}om_T(\mathcal{O}_{V\times T}, \mathcal{O}_T)$ as $\mathcal{O}_{V\times T}$-modules. Since ω is annihilated by the ideal $I_X \subset \mathcal{O}_{V\times T}$ and is torsion free as the $\mathcal{O}_X$-module, we have $\operatorname{Ann}(\omega) = I_X$. Furthermore $F \in H^0(X, \omega) \subset \mathrm{Hom}_A(S_A, A) = P_A$ generates ω as a $\mathcal{O}_X$-module or as an $\mathcal{O}_{V\times T}$-module, hence $\operatorname{Ann}(\omega) = \operatorname{Ann}(F)$ and $X = \operatorname{Spec} \operatorname{Apolar}(F)$ as families embedded into $V \times T$.

Since $X \to T$ is flat, it follows that $\operatorname{Apolar}(F)$ is A-flat. The sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Ann}(F) \to S_A \to \operatorname{Apolar}(F) \to 0 \tag{2}$$

shows that $\operatorname{Ann}(F)$ is also A-flat. Moreover the A-module $\operatorname{Apolar}(F)$ is flat and finitely generated, hence is projective, so that $S_A \simeq \operatorname{Ann}(F) \oplus \operatorname{Apolar}(F)$ as A-modules. Since A is Noetherian the module $\mathrm{Hom}_A(S_A, A)$ is flat by [Lam99, 4.47, p. 139]. Then $\mathrm{Hom}_A(\operatorname{Ann}(F), A)$ is flat as well, as a direct summand of a flat module. Applying $\mathrm{Hom}_A(-, A)$ to the sequence (2), which splits, we get

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}_A(\operatorname{Apolar}(F), A) \to \mathrm{Hom}_A(S_A, A) \to \mathrm{Hom}_A(\operatorname{Ann}(F), A) \to 0.$$

By definition $\mathrm{Hom}_A(S_A, A) = P_A$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_A(\operatorname{Apolar}(F), A) = H^0(\omega)$, which it is generated by F. Therefore the sequence can be written as

$$0 \to S_A \lrcorner F \to P_A \to \mathrm{Hom}_A(\operatorname{Ann}(F), A) \to 0.$$

Hence $\mathrm{Hom}_A(\operatorname{Ann}(F), A) \simeq P_A/(S_A \lrcorner F)$ so this last module is A-flat. By definition, $\operatorname{Apolar}(F)$ is flatly embedded. This concludes the general part of the proof.

Now we pass to the proof of Points 1. and 2.

Point 1. Suppose, that all fibres of $X \to T$ are supported at the origin of V. Take the ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of the origin in $V \times T$ and its restriction to X, denoted $\mathfrak{m}_{|X}$. Each fiber X_t over a closed point is a scheme of length d supported at the origin, so $\mathfrak{m}_{|X}^d$ is zero on each fiber of $X \to T$. Thus $\mathfrak{m}_{|X}^d$ is contained in the radical. This radical is nilpotent, as X is Noetherian being finite over Noetherian T. Therefore $\mathfrak{m}_{|X}^{de}$ is zero for e large enough. In other words, $\mathfrak{m}^{de}$ annihilates F obtained above, so in particular all monomials of degree at least de annihilate it. Hence the corresponding coefficients of F are zero and so $F \in \mathrm{Sym}_A^{<de} V \subset \mathrm{Sym}_A V$. Therefore F is a polynomial.

Point 2. By assumption A is reduced. We already know that $\mathfrak{m}^d$ lies in $\operatorname{Ann}(F)$, where d is large enough (in fact, by reducedness $d = \deg(X \to T)$ is sufficient), so that $F \in \mathrm{Sym}_A V$. Consider the image of the ideal $\operatorname{Ann}(F)$ in $S_A/\mathfrak{m}^d$. We want to show that it is homogeneous. We know that it is homogeneous when restricting to each fiber. Pick an element of this ideal and its homogeneous coordinate modulo the ideal. Such coordinate vanishes on each fiber, hence is nilpotent. As A is reduced, it is zero. This shows that $\operatorname{Ann}(F)$ is homogeneous. Therefore $S_A \lrcorner F$ is spanned by homogeneous elements. Now we may pick a homogeneous generator of the fiber and lift it (after possibly shrinking as above) to a homogeneous

generator of $S_A \lrcorner F$. □

Proposition 12 underlies our philosophy in the analysis of Hilbert scheme: we think of P_A as a local version of a versal family (no uniqueness though).

Remark 16. The above proof shows that, without restricting T, each finite flat embedded and Gorenstein family $\pi : X \to T$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Spec}_T \operatorname{Apolar}(\omega) \to T$, where $\omega \subset \mathcal{O}_T \otimes \operatorname{Sym} V$ is an invertible sheaf. We will not use this fact.

The A-module P_A is far from being finitely generated and this technical problem needs to be dealt with before the next proof is conducted. In this following Lemma 17 we show that we can harmlessly replace P_A by a suitable finitely generated A-submodule of P_A.

Lemma 17. *Let $N \subset P_A$ be a S_A-module, which is finitely generated as an A-module. Then there exist a finitely generated flat A-submodule $P_{A,N} \subset P_A$ and a projection $P_A \twoheadrightarrow P_{A,N}$, such that*

1. *The composition $N \hookrightarrow P_A \twoheadrightarrow P_{A,N}$ is injective.*
2. *The module $P_{A,N}/N$ is flat over A if and only if the module P_A/N is flat over A.*
3. *For every homomorphism $A \to B$ the induced map $B \otimes P_{A,N} \to P_B$ is injective and its image is a direct summand of P_B as a B-module.*

Proof. Since N is finitely generated over A, also the A-module $\operatorname{Hom}_A(N, N)$ is finitely generated. The S_A-module structure gives a map $S_A \to \operatorname{Hom}_A(N, N)$. Take a finite set of monomials in S_A which generate the image as A-module and denote this set by $\mathcal{M}$. For every monomial m not in $\mathcal{M}$ there are monomials $m_i \in \mathcal{M}$ and coefficients $a_i \in A$ such that $m - \sum a_i m_i$ annihilates N. Pick $f \in N$, then $(m - \sum a_i m_i) \lrcorner f = 0$. But $(m - \sum a_i m_i) \lrcorner f$ is a functional, whose value on $1 \in S_A$ is $f(m - \sum a_i m_i)$. Hence $f(m - \sum a_i m_i) = 0$, so $f(m) = \sum a_i f(m_i)$. Thus the values of f on monomials from $\mathcal{M}$ determine f uniquely. In other words, the composition $N \hookrightarrow P_A \to \operatorname{Hom}_A(A\langle\mathcal{M}\rangle, A)$ is injective, where $A\langle\mathcal{M}\rangle$ is the A-submodule of S_A with basis $\mathcal{M}$. Let

$$P_{A,N} = \operatorname{Hom}_A(A\langle\mathcal{M}\rangle, A)$$

with the projection $P_A \to P_{A,N}$ coming from restriction of functionals to $A\langle\mathcal{M}\rangle$. Then Point 1 follows by construction of $P_{A,N}$. The restriction $P_A \to \operatorname{Hom}_A(A\langle\mathcal{M}\rangle, A)$ has a natural section $\operatorname{Hom}_A(A\langle\mathcal{M}\rangle, A) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Sym}_A V \subset P_A$. We take this section to obtain an inclusion $P_{A,N} \subset P_A$. This section also proves that we have an inner direct product $P_A \simeq P_{A,N} \oplus K$ for an A-module K. Since A is Noetherian the A-module P_A is *flat* by [Lam99, 4.47, p. 139]. Hence also $P_{A,N}$ and K are flat. Snake Lemma applied to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & P_A & \longrightarrow & P_A/N & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & P_{A,N} & \longrightarrow & P_{A,N}/N & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

gives an extension

$$0 \to K \to P_A/N \to P_{A,N}/N \to 0,$$

whereas the projection $P_A \to K$ trivialises it to a direct sum $P_A/N \simeq P_{A,N}/N \oplus K$. Since K is flat over A we get that $P_{A,N}/N$ is flat over A if and only if P_A/N is flat over A; this proves Point 2.

For the Point 3 note that the homomorphism $B \otimes P_{A,N} \to B \otimes P_A \to P_B$ sends $B \otimes \operatorname{Hom}_A(A\langle\mathcal{M}\rangle, A)$ isomorphically to the direct summand $\operatorname{Hom}_B(B\langle\mathcal{M}\rangle, B) \subset P_B$, so this homomorphism is injective. □

Proof of Proposition 14. The A-module $N = S_A \lrcorner F$ is finite by assumption. By Lemma 17 for $N = S_A \lrcorner F$ we get a module $P_{A,N}$. The Lemma says that:

1. $P_{A,N}$ is flat and finite over A,
2. The module P_A/N is flat if and only if the module $P_{A,N}/N$ is flat,
3. the homomorphism $B \otimes P_{A,N} \to P_B$ is injective.

Proof of Point 1. Suppose that the apolar family is flatly embedded. Then $P_{A,N}/N$ is flat and $B \otimes N \to B \otimes P_{A,N}$ is injective, so also $B \otimes N \to P_B$ is injective. This morphism sends F to $\varphi(F) \in P_B$, hence sends $B \otimes N$ to $S_B \lrcorner \varphi(F)$. Thus $B \otimes N$ is isomorphic to $S_B \lrcorner \varphi(F)$, which in turn is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Apolar}(\varphi(F))$.

Proof of Point 2. As noted above, the family is flatly embedded if and only if $M := P_{A,N}/N$ is flat. This module is finitely generated, hence it is flat if and only if it is locally free. Now A is reduced, so this happens if and only if is has constant rank: the length of $M \otimes \kappa(t)$ is independent of the choice of $t \in \operatorname{Spec} A$. But this length is $\operatorname{len} P_{A,N} - \operatorname{len} S_B \lrcorner \varphi(F) = \operatorname{len} P_{A,N} - \operatorname{len} \operatorname{Apolar}(\varphi(F))$. Hence this length is constant directly by assumption. □

2.3 The divisors D_{IR} and D_{V-ap}

We now return to working over the field $\mathbb{C}$ with a fixed 6-dimensional vector space V and we use the notation stated in the introduction. We define the divisors mentioned there, primarily the Iliev-Ranestad divisor D_{IR}.

$\mathbf{D_{IR}}$ The Grassmannian $Gr(2, V) \subset \mathbb{P}(\Lambda^2 V)$ is non-degenerate, arithmetically Gorenstein and of degree 14. A general $\mathbb{P}^5 = \mathbb{P}W$ does not intersect it. For such $\mathbb{P}W$ the cone $Z = W \cap \operatorname{cone}(Gr(2, V)) \subset \Lambda^2 V$ is a standard graded zero-dimensional Gorenstein scheme Z supported at the origin. For a general $\mathbb{P}^6$ containing general such $\mathbb{P}W$, the intersection $\mathbb{P}^6 \cap Gr(2, V)$ is a set of 14 points and Z is a hyperplane section of the cone over these points, thus Z is smoothable. Since Z spans $\mathbb{A}^6$ and is of length 14, one checks, using the symmetry of the Hilbert function, that Z has Hilbert series $(1, 6, 6, 1)$. Therefore $Z = \operatorname{Apolar}(F)$ for a cubic $F \in \operatorname{Sym}^3 W^* \simeq \operatorname{Sym}^3 \mathbb{C}^6$, unique up to scalars and Z gives a well defined element $[F_Z] \in \mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^3 V\right) /\!/ \operatorname{GL}(V)$.

The set $D_{IR} \subset \mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^3 V\right)$ is defined as the closure of the preimage of the set of such $[F_Z]$ obtained from all admissible $\mathbb{P}^5 = \mathbb{P}W$. It is called the *Iliev-Ranestad* divisor, see [RV13]. It is proven in [IR01, Lemma 1.4] that D_{IR} is a divisor and in [RV13, Lemma 2.7] that F lies in D_{IR} if and only if it is apolar to a quartic scroll.

$\mathbf{D_{V-ap}}$ Consider a three-dimensional vector space W and choose an isomorphism $\operatorname{Sym}^2 W^* \simeq V^*$. The multiplication map $\operatorname{Sym}^3 V^* = \operatorname{Sym}^3 \operatorname{Sym}^2 W^* \to \operatorname{Sym}^6 W^*$ gives dually an inclusion $\operatorname{Sym}^6 W \subset \operatorname{Sym}^3 V$ and we get a rational map $\mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^6 W\right) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^3 V\right)$. The divisor D_{V-ap} is defined as the union of images of such maps for all possible choices of the isomorphism $\operatorname{Sym}^2 W^* \simeq V^*$. Once more, see [RV13] for the proof that D_{V-ap} is a divisor and for more on its properties.

3 Analysing the component $\mathcal{H}_{1661}$

We will now rigorously prove several claims which together lead to the proof of Theorem 1. Our approach is partially based on the natural method of [CEVV09]. Additional (crucial) steps are to prove that $\mathcal{H} \backslash \mathcal{H}_{gen}$ is smooth and that $\mathcal{H}_{gen} \cap \mathcal{H}_{1661}$ is irreducible.

In the first two steps we use the following abstract fact.

Lemma 18. *Let X and Y be reduced, finite type schemes over $\mathbb{C}$. Let $X \to Y$, $Y \to X$ be two morphisms, which are bijective on closed points. If the composition $X \to Y \to X$ is equal to identity, then $X \to Y$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Denote the morphisms by $i : X \to Y$ and $\pi : Y \to X$. The scheme-theoretical image of i contains all closed points, hence is the whole Y. Therefore the pullback of functions via i is injective. It is also surjective, since the pullback via composition $\pi \circ i$ is the identity. Hence i is an isomorphism. □

In our setting, X is a subset of the Hilbert scheme, Y a subspace of polynomials and the maps are constructed using relative Macaulay's inverse systems.

First, we identify $\mathcal{H}^{gr}_{1661}$ with an open subset of $\mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^3 V\right)$. So far we have defined $\mathcal{H}^{gr}_{1661} \subset \mathcal{H}_{1661}$ only as a closed subset. Now we consider it as a scheme with reduced structure. We construct a mapping associating to a general enough cubic its apolar algebra and prove that this mapping is an isomorphism onto $\mathcal{H}^{gr}_{1661}$.

Construction 19. Let $i : \operatorname{Sym}^{\leqslant 3}_{max} V \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Sym}^{\leqslant 3} V$ denote the set of F such that $\dim\left(\operatorname{Sym} V^* \lrcorner F\right)$ is equal to $1 + 6 + 6 + 1 = 14$. Since 14 is maximal possible, this set is open. Recall that by Remark 4 a degree three polynomial F lies in $\operatorname{Sym}^{\leqslant 3}_{max} V$ if and only if its leading form lies in $\operatorname{Sym}^{\leqslant 3}_{max} V$. Hence $\operatorname{Sym}^{\leqslant 3}_{max} V = \operatorname{Sym}^{\leqslant 2} V \times \operatorname{Sym}^3_{max} V$.

Denote for brevity $U = \operatorname{Sym}^{\leqslant 3}_{max} V$ and by $\Gamma(U)$ the global coordinate ring of this (affine) subvariety. Take an universal cubic $\mathbf{F} \in \Gamma(U) \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{\leqslant 3} V$, so that for every $u \in U$ we have $\mathbf{F}(u) = i(u)$. Then by Proposition 14 the family $a_{\mathbf{F}} : \operatorname{Spec} \operatorname{Apolar}(\mathbf{F}) \to U = \operatorname{Sym}^{\leqslant 3}_{max} V$ is flatly embedded and gives a morphism

$$\varphi : \operatorname{Sym}^{\leqslant 3}_{max} V \to \mathcal{H}$$

to the Gorenstein locus of the Hilbert scheme. The points of the image are just $\operatorname{Spec} \operatorname{Apolar}(\mathbf{F}(u))$, where $\mathbf{F}(u) \in U$. By Macaulay's inverse systems they correspond precisely to irreducible schemes with Hilbert function $(1, 6, 6, 1)$ and supported at the origin.

Let $\mu : \operatorname{Sym}^3 V \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^3 V\right)$ be the projectivisation. Denote $\mu(U)$ by $\mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^3 V\right)_{1661}$. The restriction of φ to homogeneous cubics factors through μ and gives a morphism

$$\varphi : \mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^3 V\right)_{1661} \to \mathcal{H}^{gr}_{1661},$$

which is bijective on points.

CLAIM 1. *The map $\varphi : \mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^3 V\right)_{1661} \to \mathcal{H}^{gr}_{1661}$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Let $[Z] \in \mathcal{H}^{gr}_{1661}$. Each fibre of the universal family over $\mathcal{H}^{gr}_{1661}$ is $\mathbb{C}^*$-invariant thus the whole family is $\mathbb{C}^*$-invariant. By Local Description of Families (Proposition 12) near $[Z]$ this family has the form $\operatorname{Spec} \operatorname{Apolar}(F) \to \operatorname{Spec} A$ for some $F \in A \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^3 V$, so that $[F]$ gives a morphism $\operatorname{Spec} A \to \mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^3 V\right)_{1661}$ which is locally an inverse to $\mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^3 V\right)_{1661} \to \mathcal{H}^{gr}_{1661}$. The claim follows from Lemma 18. □

We will abuse notation and speak about elements of $\mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^3 V\right)_{1661}$ being smoothable etc.

Next, we construct the bundle $(\mathcal{H}_{1661})_{red} \to \mathcal{H}^{gr}_{1661}$. We now prove the following claim, which informally reduces the questions about $\mathcal{H}_{1661}$ to the questions about $\mathcal{H}^{gr}_{1661}$. Note that we will work on the reduced scheme $(\mathcal{H}_{1661})_{red}$, which eventually turns out to be equal to $\mathcal{H}_{1661}$.

CLAIM 2. *$(\mathcal{H}_{1661})_{red}$ is a rank 21 vector bundle over $\mathcal{H}^{gr}_{1661}$ via a map $\pi : (\mathcal{H}_{1661})_{red} \to \mathcal{H}^{gr}_{1661}$. This map on the level of points maps $[R]$ to $\operatorname{Spec} \operatorname{gr} H^0(R, \mathcal{O}_R)$ supported at the origin of V. The schemes corresponding to points in the same fibre of π are isomorphic.*

Proof. First we recall the support map, as defined in [CEVV09, 5A]. Consider the universal family $\mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{H}$, which is flat. The multiplication by V^* on $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{U}$ is $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{H}$-linear. The relative trace of such multiplication defines a map $V^* \to H^0(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{H})$, thus a morphism $\mathcal{H} \to V$. We restrict this morphism to $\mathcal{H}_{1661} \to V$ and compose it with multiplication by $\frac{1}{14}$ on V to obtain a map denoted supp. If $[Z] \in \mathcal{H}_{1661}$ corresponds to a scheme supported at $v \in V$, then for every $v^* \in V^*$ the multiplication by $v^* - v^*(v)$ is nilpotent on Z, hence traceless. Thus on Z, we have $\operatorname{tr}(v^*) = \operatorname{tr}(v^*(v)) = 14v^*(v)$ and $\operatorname{supp}([Z]) = v$ as expected.

The support morphism $\operatorname{supp} : \mathcal{H}_{1661} \to V$ is $(V, +)$ equivariant, thus it is a trivial vector bundle:

$$\mathcal{H}_{1661} \simeq V \times \operatorname{supp}^{-1}(0).$$

Restrict supp to $(\mathcal{H}_{1661})_{red}$ and consider the fibre $\mathcal{H}^0_{1661} := \operatorname{supp}^{-1}(0)$. Since $(\mathcal{H}_{1661})_{red}$ is reduced, also $\mathcal{H}^0_{1661}$ is reduced. We will now use this in an essential way. By Local Description (Proposition 12) the universal family over this scheme locally has the form $a_F : \operatorname{Spec} \operatorname{Apolar}(F) \to \operatorname{Spec} A$ for some $F \in A \otimes \operatorname{Sym} V$. For every $p \in \operatorname{Spec} A$ we have $\deg F(p) \leqslant 3$. In other words, $F_{\geqslant 4}(p) = 0$ for all points in A. Since A is reduced, we have $F_{\geqslant 4} = 0$, so that $\deg F \leqslant 3$. Let F_3 be the leading form. The fibres of $\operatorname{gr} a_F : \operatorname{Spec} \operatorname{Apolar}(F_3) \to \operatorname{Spec} A$ and a_F are isomorphic. Since A is reduced, by Proposition 14 the family $\operatorname{gr} a_F$ is also flat and gives a morphism $\operatorname{Spec} A \to \mathcal{H}^{gr}_{1661}$. These morphisms glue to give a morphism

$$\operatorname{gr} : \mathcal{H}^0_{1661} \to \mathcal{H}^{gr}_{1661}.$$

Now we prove that gr makes $\mathcal{H}^0_{1661}$ a vector bundle over $\mathcal{H}^{gr}_{1661}$. Let $U = \operatorname{Sym}^{\leqslant 3}_{max} V$. By Construction 19 we have a $\varphi : U \to \mathcal{H}^0_{1661}$ which is a surjection on points. This surjection comes from a flatly embedded apolar family $\operatorname{Spec} \operatorname{Apolar}(\mathbf{F}) \to U$, where $\mathbf{F} \in \Gamma(U) \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{\leqslant 3}_{max} V$ is a universal cubic.

Let $[Z] \in \mathcal{H}^0_{1661}$ and $u \in U$ be a point in the preimage. Then $Z = \operatorname{Apolar}(\mathbf{F}(u))$ and $\operatorname{gr}([Z])$ is apolar to the cubic form $[\mathbf{F}(u)_3] = [\mathbf{F}_3(u)]$. Therefore $\operatorname{gr} \circ \varphi(\mathbf{F}(u)) = [\mathbf{F}_3(u)]$. In this way $U = \operatorname{Sym}^{\leqslant 3}_{max} V$ becomes a trivial vector bundle of rank $1 + 6 + \binom{7}{2} = 28$ over the cone $\operatorname{Sym}^3_{max} V$ over $\mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^3 V\right)_{1661}$. We will prove that $\mathcal{H}^0_{1661}$ is a projectivisation of a quotient bundle of this bundle. Take a subbundle $\mathcal{K}$ of U whose fiber over $F_3 \in \operatorname{Sym}^3_{max} V$ is $(\operatorname{Sym}^{\geqslant 1} V^*) \lrcorner F_3$. Then as in Remark 5 we see that the family $\operatorname{Spec} \operatorname{Apolar}(\mathbf{F})$ over U is pulled back from the quotient bundle $U/\mathcal{K}$, which we denote by $\mathcal{E}$. Hence also the associated morphism $U \to \mathcal{H}^0_{1661}$ factors as $U \to \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{H}^0_{1661}$. Finally we may projectivise these bundles: we replace the polynomials in $\mathcal{E}$ by their classes, obtaining a bundle over $\mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^3 V\right)_{1661}$ which we denote, abusing notation, by $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}$. The morphism $\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{H}^0_{1661}$ factors as $\mathcal{E} \to \mathbb{P}\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{H}^0_{1661}$. Finally we obtain

$$\bar{\varphi} : \mathbb{P}\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{H}^0_{1661}.$$

It is bijective on points (Remark 5).

By the Local Description of Families for every $[Z] \in \mathcal{H}^0_{1661}$ we have a neighborhood U so that the universal family is $\operatorname{Spec} \operatorname{Apolar}(F) \to U$ for $F \in H^0(U, \mathcal{O}_U) \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{\leqslant 3}_{max} V$. Then F gives a map $U \to \operatorname{Sym}^{\leqslant 3}_{max} V$, thus $U \to \mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}$. This is a local inverse of $\bar{\varphi}$. Hence by Lemma 18 the variety $\mathcal{H}^0_{1661}$ is isomorphic to the bundle $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}$ over $\mathcal{H}^{gr}_{1661}$. To prove Claim 2 we define π to be the composition of projection $V \times \mathcal{H}^0_{1661} \to \mathcal{H}^0_{1661}$ and gr. Since the former is a *trivial* vector bundle and the latter is a vector bundle the composition is a vector bundle as well.

Finally note that $\pi([Z])$ is isomorphic to the scheme $\operatorname{Spec} \operatorname{gr} H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$, which in turn is (abstractly) isomorphic to Z by the discussion of Section 2. Thence all the schemes corresponding to points in the same fibre are isomorphic. □

Corollary 20. *The locus $\mathcal{H}_{1661} \cap \mathcal{H}_{gen} \subset \mathcal{H}_{1661}$ contains a divisor, which is equal to $\pi^{-1}(D_{IR})$, where $D_{IR} \subset \mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^3 V\right)_{1661}$ is the restriction of the Iliev-Ranestad divisor.*

Proof. By its construction, the divisor $D_{IR} \subset \mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^3 V\right)_{1661} \simeq \mathcal{H}^{gr}_{1661}$ parametrises smoothable schemes. By Claim 2 also schemes in $\pi^{-1}(D_{IR})$ are smoothable, hence $\pi^{-1}(D_{IR})$ is contained in $\mathcal{H}_{1661} \cap \mathcal{H}_{gen}$. Again by Claim 2 this preimage is divisorial in $\mathcal{H}_{1661}$. □

Now we prove that $\mathcal{H}_{1661} \setminus \mathcal{H}_{gen}$ is smooth, so $\mathcal{H}_{1661}$ is reduced. Let $Z \subset V$ be a zero-dimensional irreducible (locally) Gorenstein scheme with Hilbert function $(1, 6, 6, 1)$. Let $S := H^0(V, \mathcal{O}_V) = \operatorname{Sym} V^*$ and $R = H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$, then $R = S/I$. The tangent space to $\mathcal{H}$ at $[Z]$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Hom}_{S/I}(I/I^2, S/I)$. Since Z is Gorenstein, this space is dual to I/I^2. Note that Z is isomorphic to $Z_0 = \operatorname{Spec} \operatorname{gr} R$ and $[Z_0] \in \mathcal{H}^{gr}_{1661}$.

CLAIM 3. *$\mathcal{H}_{1661} \cap \operatorname{Sing} \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{1661} \cap \mathcal{H}_{gen} = \pi^{-1}(D_{IR})$ as sets. Therefore $\mathcal{H}_{1661}$ is reduced. Moreover $\mathcal{H}_{1661} \cap \mathcal{H}_{gen} \subset \mathcal{H}_{1661}$ is a prime divisor.*

Being a singular point of $\mathcal{H}$ and lying in $\mathcal{H}_{gen}$ are both independent of the embedding of a finite scheme. Hence all three sets appearing in the equality of Claim 3 are preimages of their images in $\mathcal{H}^{gr}_{1661}$. Therefore it is enough to prove the claim for elements of $\mathcal{H}^{gr}_{1661}$.

Take $[Z_0] \in \mathcal{H}^{gr}_{1661}$ with corresponding homogeneous ideal I. Take $F \in \operatorname{Sym}^3 V$ so that $I = \operatorname{Ann}(F)$. The point $[Z_0]$ is smooth if and only if $\dim S/I^2 = 76 + 14 = 90$. Consider the Hilbert series H of S/I^2. By degree reasons I^2 annihilates $\operatorname{Sym}^{\leqslant 3} V$. We now show that it annihilates also a 6-dimension space of quartics. Notabene, by Example 15 this space is the tangent space to deformations of S/I obtained by moving its support in V.

Lemma 21. *The ideal I^2 annihilates the space $V \cdot F \subset \operatorname{Sym}^4 V$.*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in V^*$ and $x \in V$ be linear forms. Then α acts on $\operatorname{Sym} V$ as a derivation, so that $\alpha \lrcorner (xF) = (\alpha \lrcorner x)F + x(\alpha \lrcorner F) \equiv x(\alpha \lrcorner F) \mod S \lrcorner F$. Take any element $i \in I_2$ and write it as $i = \sum \beta_i \beta_j$ with β_i linear. Then

$$i \lrcorner (xF) = \sum \beta_i \lrcorner (\beta_j \lrcorner xF) \equiv \sum x(\beta_i \beta_j \lrcorner F) = x(i \lrcorner F) = 0 \mod (S \lrcorner F).$$

Therefore $i \lrcorner (xF) \in S \lrcorner F$, hence is annihilated by I. This proves that $I_2 I$ annihilates xF. Other graded parts of I^2 annihilate xF by degree reasons. □

By Lemma 21 and the discussion above we have $H_{Z_0} = (1, 6, 21, 56, r, *)$ with $r \geqslant 6$. Therefore $\sum H_{Z_0} = 84 + r$ and this equals 90 if and only if $r = 6$ and $*$ consists of zeros. Now we show that if $r = 6$ then $*$ consists of zeros.

Lemma 22. *Let $F \in \operatorname{Sym}^3 V$ and $I = \operatorname{Ann}(F) \subset S$ be as above. Suppose that $\dim \left(I^2\right)^{\perp}_4 = 6$. Then $\operatorname{Sym}^5 V^* \subset I^2$. In particular $H_{S/I^2} = (1, 6, 21, 56, 6, 0)$, so that the tangent space to $\mathcal{H}$ at $[S/I]$ has dimension 76. As a corollary, $[S/I]$ is singular if and only if $\dim \left(I^2\right)^{\perp}_4 > 6$.*

Proof. Suppose $\operatorname{Sym}^5 V^* \not\subset I^2$ and take non-zero $G \in \operatorname{Sym}^5 V^*$ annihilated by this ideal. By assumption $\dim \left(I^2\right)^{\perp}_4 = 6$ and by Lemma 21 the 6-dimensional space VF is perpendicular to I^2. Therefore $\left(I^2\right)^{\perp} = VF$ and hence $V^* \lrcorner G \subset VF$.

We first show that all linear forms are partials of G, in other words that $V \subset SG$. Clearly $0 \neq V^* \lrcorner G \subset VF$. Take a non-zero $x \in V$ such that xF is a partial of G. Let $W^* = \left(x^{\perp}\right)_1 \subset V^*$ be the space perpendicular to x. Let $xF = x^{e+1}\tilde{F}$, where $\tilde{F}$ is not divisible by x. Then there exists an element σ of $\operatorname{Sym} W^*$ such that $\sigma \lrcorner (xF) = x^{e+1}$. In particular $x \in S \lrcorner (xF)$. Moreover $S_3(xF) \equiv S_2 F = V \mod \mathbb{C}x$. Therefore, $V \subset S \lrcorner G$, so $V = S_4 \lrcorner G$. By symmetry of the Hilbert function, $\dim V^*G = \dim S_4 G = 6$. Since V^*G is annihilated by I^2, by comparing dimensions we conclude that

$$V^*G = VF.$$

Since $I_3 \lrcorner (I_2 \lrcorner G) = 0$ and $I_3^\perp = \mathbb{C}F$, we have $I_2 \lrcorner G \subset \mathbb{C}F$, so $\dim(S_2 \lrcorner G + \mathbb{C}F) \leqslant 6 + 1 = 7$. For every linear $\alpha \in V^*$ and $y \in V$ we have $\alpha \lrcorner (yF) = (\alpha \lrcorner y)F + y(\alpha \lrcorner F) \equiv y(\alpha \lrcorner F) \mod \mathbb{C}F$. Therefore we have

$$S_2 \lrcorner G = V^* \lrcorner (V^* \lrcorner G) = V^* \lrcorner (VF) \equiv V(V^* \lrcorner F) \mod \mathbb{C}F,$$

thus $\dim V(V^* \lrcorner F) \leqslant 7$. Take any two quadrics $q_1, q_2 \in V^*F$. Then $Vq_1 \cap Vq_2$ is non-zero, so that q_1 and q_2 have a common factor. We conclude that $V^*F = yV$ for some y, but then $\dim V(V^*F) = \dim y \operatorname{Sym}^2 V > 7$, a contradiction. □

As explained in Claim 1, the map $[F] \to \operatorname{Spec}\operatorname{Apolar}(F)$ is an isomorphism $\mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^3 V\right)_{1661} \to \mathcal{H}^{gr}_{1661}$. Thus we may consider the statements $[Z_0] \in \mathcal{H}_{gen}$ and $[Z_0]$ is singular as conditions on the form $F \in \mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^3 V\right)_{1661}$. For a family $\mathcal{F}$ of forms we get a rank 120 bundle $\operatorname{Sym}^2 \mathcal{I}_2$ with an evaluation morphism

$$ev : \operatorname{Sym}^2 \mathcal{I}_2 \to (V\mathcal{F})^\perp \subset \operatorname{Sym}^4 V^*. \tag{3}$$

The condition $(I^2)_4^\perp > 6$ is equivalent to degeneration of ev on the fibre and thus it is *divisorial* on $\mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^3 V\right)_{1661}$. We now check that the associated divisor $E = (\det ev = 0) = \operatorname{Sing}\mathcal{H}_{1661} \cap \mathcal{H}^{gr}_{1661}$ is prime of degree 10.

Lemma 23. *Fix a basis $x_0, \ldots, x_5$ of V and let $F = x_0x_1x_3 - x_0^2x_4 + x_1x_2^2 + x_2x_4x_5 + x_3x_5^2$. The line between F and x_5^3 intersects E in a zero-dimensional scheme of degree 10 supported at x_5^3.*

Proof. First, one checks that every linear form is a partial of F, so that $F \in \mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^3 V\right)_{1661}$. A minor technical remark: in this example we use contraction action. The same polynomials will work when considering partial differentiation action, but the apolar ideals will differ. Let

$$\begin{aligned} fixed := \operatorname{span}(&\alpha_0^2,\ \alpha_0\alpha_2,\ -\alpha_0\alpha_3 + \alpha_2^2, \alpha_0\alpha_4 + \alpha_2\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1^2,\ \alpha_1\alpha_2 - \alpha_4\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1\alpha_3 + \alpha_4^2,\\ &\alpha_1\alpha_4,\ \alpha_1\alpha_5,\ \alpha_2\alpha_3,\ \alpha_2\alpha_4 - \alpha_3\alpha_5,\ \alpha_3^2,\ \alpha_3\alpha_4) \end{aligned}$$

be a 14-dimensional space. Let $F(u, v) = uF + vx_5^3$. Then

$$fixed \oplus \mathbb{C}\left(v\alpha_3\alpha_5 + u\alpha_0\alpha_1 - u\alpha_5^2\right) \subset \operatorname{Ann}(F_2)$$

and equality holds for a general choice of $(u : v) \in \mathbb{P}^1$. One verifies that the determinant of ev restricted to this line is equal, up to unit, to u^{10}. This can be conveniently checked near x_5^3 by considering $\operatorname{Sym}^2 I \to \operatorname{Sym}^4 V^*/Vx_5^3$ and near F by $\operatorname{Sym}^2 I \to \operatorname{Sym}^4 V^*/Vx_3x_5^2$. We note that the same equality holds in any characteristic other than $2, 3$. □

Remark 24. For F as in Lemma 23 above $\operatorname{Spec}\operatorname{Apolar}(F)$ is an example of a non-smoothable scheme. Other examples may be easily obtained by choosing "random" degree three polynomials F.

Proposition 25. *The divisor $E = \operatorname{Sing}\mathcal{H}_{1661} \cap \mathcal{H}^{gr}_{1661}$ is prime of degree 10. We have $E = \mathcal{H}_{gen} \cap \mathcal{H}^{gr}_{1661} = D_{IR} \cap \mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^3 V\right)_{1661}$ as sets.*

Proof. Take two forms $[F_1], [F_2] \in \mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^3 V\right)$ and consider the intersection of E with the line ℓ spanned by them. By Lemma 23 the restriction to ℓ of the evaluation morphism from Equation (3) is zero-dimensional of degree 10. Hence also E is of degree 10.

Note that E is $\mathrm{SL}(V)$-invariant. By a direct check, e.g. conducted with the help of computer (e.g. LiE), we see that there are no $\mathrm{SL}(V)$-invariant polynomials in $\operatorname{Sym}^\bullet \operatorname{Sym}^3 V^*$ of degree less than ten. Therefore E is prime. Now smoothable schemes are singular, hence we have $D_{IR|\mathbb{P}(\operatorname{Sym}^3 V)_{1661}} \subset \mathcal{H}^{gr}_{1661} \cap \mathcal{H}_{gen} \subset E$. Since $D_{IR|\mathbb{P}(\operatorname{Sym}^3 V)_{1661}}$ is also a non-zero divisor, we have equality of sets. □

Remark 26. In the proof of Proposition 25 (and so Lemma 23) we could avoid calculating the precise degree of the restriction of ev to ℓ, provided that we prove that $(\det ev = 0)_\ell$ is zero-dimensional. Namely, let $\mathcal{I} \subset \operatorname{Sym}^\bullet V^*$ be the relative apolar ideal sheaf on ℓ. We look at $\mathcal{I}_2$. By the proof of Lemma we have $\mathcal{I}_2 \simeq \mathcal{O}^{14} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$. Hence $\operatorname{Sym}^2 \mathcal{I}_2$ has determinant $\mathcal{O}(-16)$. Similarly $V\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{O}(-1)^6$, hence $(V\mathcal{F})^\perp = \mathcal{O}^{114} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)^6$ and thus $\det ev_{|\ell} : \mathcal{O}(-16) \to \mathcal{O}(-6)$ is zero on a degree 10 divisor. We conclude that E has degree 10.

Proof of Claim 3. Schemes corresponding to different elements in the fiber of π are abstractly isomorphic. Therefore, we have $\operatorname{Sing} \mathcal{H}_{1661} = \pi^{-1}\pi(\operatorname{Sing} \mathcal{H}_{1661})$ and $\mathcal{H}_{gen} \cap \mathcal{H}_{1661} = \pi^{-1}\pi(\mathcal{H}_{gen} \cap \mathcal{H}_{1661})$. Hence the equality in Proposition 25 implies the equality in the Claim. The reducedness follows, because the scheme was defined via the closure: $\mathcal{H}_{1661} = \overline{\mathcal{H}_{1661} \setminus \mathcal{H}_{gen}}$. The last claim follows because D_{IR} is prime and of codimension one thus its preimage under π is also such. □

Remark 27. If $[Z] \in \mathcal{H}_{1661}$ lies in $\mathcal{H}_{gen}$, then the tangent space to $\mathcal{H}$ at $[Z]$ has dimension at least $85 = 76 + 9$. This is explained geometrically by an elegant argument of [IR01], which we sketch below. Recall that we have an embedding $Z \subset \mathbb{A}^6 \cap \Lambda^2 V$. Define a rational map $\varphi : \mathbb{P}(\Lambda^2 V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\Lambda^2 V^*)$ as the composition $\Lambda^2 V \to \Lambda^4 V \simeq \Lambda^2 V^*$ where the first map is $w \to w \wedge w$ and the second is an isomorphism coming from a choice of element of $\Lambda^6 V$. Then φ is defined by the 15 quadrics vanishing on $Gr(2, V)$ and it is birational with a natural inverse given by quadrics vanishing on $Gr(2, V^*)$. The 15 quadrics in the ideal of Z are the restrictions of the 15 quadrics defining φ. Thus the map $\varphi : Z \to \Lambda^2 V^*$ is defined by 15 quadrics in the ideal of Z. Since $\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(Z))$ spans at most an $\mathbb{A}^6$ the coordinates of φ^{-1} give $15 - 6 = 9$ quadratic relations between those quadrics. Therefore $\dim I_4 \leqslant \dim \operatorname{Sym}^2 I_2 - 9 \leqslant 126 - 15$ and r from the discussion above Lemma 22 is at least 15 so that the tangent space dimension is at least 85.

Proof of Theorem 1.

Proof. 2. By Claim 3 the component $\mathcal{H}_{1661}$ is reduced. Hence this part follows by Claim 2.

3. This is proved in Claim 1.

1. $\mathcal{H}_{1661}$ is smooth and connected, being a vector bundle over $\mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^3 V\right)_{1661}$.

4. This is proved in Claim 3. □

3.0.1 The ninth secant variety of the triple Veronese of $\mathbb{P}^5$.

Proof of Proposition 2. In [RV13, Lemma 4.13] Ranestad and Voisin prove that every form $[F] \in \mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^3 V\right)_{1661}$ lying in $D_{IR} \cap D_{V-ap}$ has cactus rank at most nine. Therefore $[F]$ lies in the ninth cactus variety of the third Veronese. All Gorenstein schemes of length at most nine are smoothable [CN09], so the secant variety and the cactus variety coincide by [BB14, Theorem 1.4]. Therefore $D_{IR} \cap D_{V-ap} \subset \sigma_9\nu_3(\mathbb{P}V)$. The (expected) dimension of $\sigma_9\nu_3(\mathbb{P}V)$ is 53 and it is less or equal to $\dim D_{IR} \cap D_{V-ap}$. Therefore $D_{IR} \cap D_{V-ap} = \sigma_9\nu_3(\mathbb{P}V)$ as sets and $D_{IR} \cap D_{V-ap}$ is a complete intersection. □

Remark 28. In [CHC+] Chiantini, Hauenstein, Ikenmeyer, Landsberg and Ottaviani give very strong numerical evidence that $\sigma_9\nu_3(\mathbb{P}V)$ is an ideal-theoretic complete intersection of a degree 10 and degree 28 divisors. Since the degree 10 divisor is $\mathrm{SL}(V)$-invariant, it coincides with D_{IR}. It would be interesting to know whether the second divisor is D_{V-ap}.

The outline of the argument of [CHC+], as communicated by the authors, is to use [HS10] to show that $\sigma_9\nu_3(\mathbb{P}V)$ has codimension 2 and degree 280. Then [DH16a, DH16b] are used to show that it is arithmetically Gorenstein. Finally, the Hilbert function of the 280 witness points allows one to conclude that it is actually a complete intersection with the ideal minimally generated by a degree 10 and a degree 28 polynomial.

3.0.2 Small component

Remark 29. The dimension of $\mathcal{H}_{1661}$ equal to 76 is smaller than the dimension of $\mathcal{H}_{gen}$ equal to $14{\cdot}6 = 84$. This is the only known example of a component $\mathcal{Z}$ of the Gorenstein locus of Hilbert scheme of d points on $\mathbb{A}^n$ such that $\dim \mathcal{Z} \leqslant dn$ and points of $\mathcal{Z}$ correspond to *irreducible* subschemes. It is an interesting question whether other examples exist.

References

[BB14] Weronika Buczyńska and Jarosław Buczyński. Secant varieties to high degree Veronese reembeddings, catalecticant matrices and smoothable Gorenstein schemes. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 23:63–90, 2014.

[BBKT15] Weronika Buczyńska, Jarosław Buczyński, Johannes Kleppe, and Zach Teitler. Apolarity and direct sum decomposability of polynomials. *Michigan Math. J.*, 64(4):675–719, 2015.

[BCP97] Wieb Bosma, John Cannon, and Catherine Playoust. The Magma algebra system. I. The user language. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 24(3-4):235–265, 1997. Computational algebra and number theory (London, 1993).

[CEVV09] Dustin A. Cartwright, Daniel Erman, Mauricio Velasco, and Bianca Viray. Hilbert schemes of 8 points. *Algebra Number Theory*, 3(7):763–795, 2009.

[CHC$^+$] Luca Chiantini, Jonathan D. Hauenstein, Ikenmeyer Christian, Joseph M. Landsberg, and Giorgio Ottaviani. Symmetrizing the matrix multiplication tensor. *In preparation, 2016.*

[CJN15] Gianfranco Casnati, Joachim Jelisiejew, and Roberto Notari. Irreducibility of the Gorenstein loci of Hilbert schemes via ray families. *Algebra Number Theory*, 9(7):1525–1570, 2015.

[CN09] Gianfranco Casnati and Roberto Notari. On the Gorenstein locus of some punctual Hilbert schemes. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 213(11):2055–2074, 2009.

[CN11] Gianfranco Casnati and Roberto Notari. On the irreducibility and the singularities of the Gorenstein locus of the punctual Hilbert scheme of degree 10. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 215(6):1243–1254, 2011.

[DH16a] Noah S. Daleo and Jonathan D. Hauenstein. Numerically deciding the arithmetically Cohen-Macaulayness of a projective scheme. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 72:128–146, 2016.

[DH16b] Noah S. Daleo and Jonathan D. Hauenstein. *Numerically Testing Generically Reduced Projective Schemes for the Arithmetic Gorenstein Property*, pages 137–142. Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2016.

[Eis95] David Eisenbud. *Commutative algebra*, volume 150 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. With a view toward algebraic geometry.

[Ems78] Jacques Emsalem. Géométrie des points épais. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 106(4):399–416, 1978.

[ER12] Joan Elias and Maria Evelina Rossi. Isomorphism classes of short Gorenstein local rings via Macaulay's inverse system. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 364(9):4589–4604, 2012.

[ER15] Joan Elias and Maria Evelina Rossi. Analytic isomorphisms of compressed local algebras. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 143(3):973–987, 2015.

[Erm12] Daniel Erman. Murphy's law for Hilbert function strata in the Hilbert scheme of points. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 19(6):1277–1281, 2012.

[Gał] Maciej Gałązka. Vector bundles give equations of cactus varieties. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1605.08005.*

[GS] Daniel R. Grayson and Michael E. Stillman. Macaulay2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry. Available at `http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2/`.

[HS10] Jonathan D. Hauenstein and Andrew J. Sommese. Witness sets of projections. *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 217(7):3349–3354, 2010.

[Iar94] Anthony Iarrobino. Associated graded algebra of a Gorenstein Artin algebra. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 107(514):viii+115, 1994.

[IE78] Anthony Iarrobino and Jacques Emsalem. Some zero-dimensional generic singularities; finite algebras having small tangent space. *Compositio Math.*, 36(2):145–188, 1978.

[IK99] Anthony Iarrobino and Vassil Kanev. *Power sums, Gorenstein algebras, and determinantal loci*, volume 1721 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999. Appendix C by Anthony Iarrobino and Steven L. Kleiman.

[IR01] Atanas Iliev and Kristian Ranestad. $K3$ surfaces of genus 8 and varieties of sums of powers of cubic fourfolds. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 353(4):1455–1468, 2001.

[IR07] Atanas Iliev and Kristian Ranestad. Addendum to "$K3$ surfaces of genus 8 and varieties of sums of powers of cubic fourfolds" [Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **353** (2001), no. 4, 1455–1468; mr1806733]. *C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci.*, 60(12):1265–1270, 2007.

[Jel16] Joachim Jelisiejew. Classifying local artinian gorenstein algebras. *Collectanea Mathematica*, pages 1–27, 2016.

[Lam99] Tsit Yuen Lam. *Lectures on modules and rings*, volume 189 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.

[LiE] LiE. A computer algebra package for Lie group computations. *http://young.sp2mi.univ-poitiers.fr/ marc/LiE/.*

[LO13] Joseph M. Landsberg and Giorgio Ottaviani. Equations for secant varieties of Veronese and other varieties. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)*, 192(4):569–606, 2013.

[LR11] Paolo Lella and Margherita Roggero. Rational components of Hilbert schemes. *Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova*, 126:11–45, 2011.

[Mou] Bernard Mourrain. Polynomial-exponential decomposition from moments. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.05720.*

[RV13] Kristian Ranestad and Claire Voisin. Variety of power sums and divisors in the moduli space of cubic fourfolds. *ArXiv e-print arXiv:1309.1899*, 2013.